50% shareholding by the Government sector shareholders which would include 26% equity by NTPC.

Power projects awaiting clearance

†4067. SHRI GOPALSINH G. SOLANKI : Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state :

(a) whether some new power projects of private sector are presently pending with Government for clearance;

(b) the details of costs and power generation of capacities of various projects to be set up in Karnataka, Gujarat and various other States;

(c) whether concerned State Governments have already provided various local infrastructural facilities for the said projects; and

(d) the names of industrial houses who have sent the proposals to set up the power projects in the said States ?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRIMATI JAYAWANTI MEHTA) : (a) to (d) Details of the schemes for setting up power projects in the private sector in the country for which the Detailed Project Reports have been received in Central Electricity Authority (CEA) for techno-economic clearance, indicating their estimated completed costs, the project promoters, capacity and the pending inputs/clearances/linkages are given below :—

S. No.	Name of the project/ Promoters/ State	Capacity (MW)	Estimated completed cost (Rs. in crores)	Pending inputs
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
1.	Dhamwari Sunda HEP (M/s Dhamwari Power Company Ltd.) Himachal Pradesh	70	563.03	(i) Tentative financial package (ii) Forest clearance for transmission lines. (iii) Power evacuation beyond Maliana Sub-station

†Original notice of the question was received in Hindi.

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
				(iv) Furnishing of clarifications to the observations made in the meeting of the Standing Project Appraisal Committee of Central Electricity Authority held on March 13, 2000.
2.	Shrinagar HEP (M/s Duncans North Hydro-Power Co. Ltd.) Uttar Pradesh	330	1252.48	The techno-economic clearance given to the project earlier as the State sector project was transferred in favour of promoters on November 26,2000. The project was considered by SPAC for techno-economic clearance on April 27, 2000. The promoters are required to furnish additional information whereafter the project will be taken up for techno-economic appraisal.
3.	Jawaharpur TPP (M/s Jawaharpur Power India Ltd.) Uttar Pradesh	800	3810.14	(i) State Government recommendations on DPR/ cost (ii) Corringendum for cost under Section 29(2) of Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948. (iii) Clarifications on tentative financial package.
4.	Rajgarh CCGT (M/s. Alpine Power System Ltd). Madhya Pradesh	343.48	1128.78	(i) Compliance of Section 29 (2) of the Electricity (Supply) Act, 1948 corrigendum for revised capacity and cost, report u/s. 29(3) awaited.

S. No.	Name of the project/ Promoters/ State	Capacity (MW)	Estimated completed cost (Rs. in crores)	Pending inputs
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
				(ii) State Government consent u/s 18A for authorisation to revised capacity.
				(iii) Power evacuation system and number of outlets in s/yard.
				(iv) Revalidated fuel transport clearance.
				(v) Fuel linkage for revised capacity of 343.48 MW.
5.	Jhabua CCGT (M/s Kedia Power Ltd.) Madhya Pradesh	360	1365.768	(i) Compliance of Section 29(2) of Electricity (Supply) Act. 1948 corrigendum for revised capacity and cost; report u/s 29(3) from State Government awaited.
				(ii) State Government consent u/s 18A for revised capacity.
				(iii) MoEF and SPCB clearance for submergence of 194.33 Ha of land.
				(iv) Fresh approval from Government of Madhya Pradesh for drawal of 8.63 cu. m. of consumptive water.
6.	Hassan CCP (M/s. Hassan Power Supply Co. Ltd) Karnataka	189	715.62	(i) Reduction of hard cost by the promoters.
				(ii) Resolution of water availablity by CWC from inter-State angle (CWDT issue).

(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
7.	Nanjangud CCPP (T) (M/s. IPS Power Co.)	96.7	US $ 52.26 M+Rs. 154.916 crore	(i) Resolution of water availability by CWC from inter-State angle (CWDT issue).
				(ii) Finalisation of hard cost
8.	Mandya CCPP (M/s. Mandya Power Partners Pvt. Ltd.)	164.4	674.775	(i) Compliance of Section 29(2)- corrigendum for revised capacity and cost in Gazette.
				(ii) Firmed completed cost.
				(iii) Water availability.(C&S).
				(iv) Transfer of fuel linkage in favour of Gen. Co.
				(v) Fuel transport.
				(vi) MoEF clearance—revalidation for revised capacity.
				(vii) Financial package (revised report received on 31-1-2000 is under examination).
9.	Telgi (Bijapur) TPP (M/s. KEI Energy Pvt. Ltd.)	350	1597.7	Considered by SPAC on 16-3-1999. Not recommended for techno-economic clearance as cost is not properly structured and equipment and service costs are not indicated in the reasonable proportion.
10.	Srimushnam Lignite TPP (M/s. TICAPCO)	250	1451	(i) Water availability.
				(ii) State Government recommendation on DPR and cost.

S. No.	Name of the project/ Promoters/ State	Capacity (MW)	Estimated completed cost (Rs. in crores)	Pending inputs
(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)	(5)
				(iii) Approval of Ministry of Coal for mining plan.
11.	Krishnapatnam 'A' TPP (M/s. GVK Power Krishnapatnam Ltd.)	520	US $ 377.56 M+Rs. 1089.729 crores	(i) Coal linkage and transportation. (ii) Revalidated MoEF clearance for revised capacity. (iii) Env (SPCB clearance for proposed Jetty). (iv) Revalidated NoC of AAI. (v) CWC clearance.

Rural Electrification below the target

4068. SHRI SANTOSH BAGRODIA : Will the Minister of POWER be pleased to state :

(a) whether it is a fact that the Rural Electrification in the country is much below the target;

(b) if so, the reasons for the same, State-wise;

(c) how many villages in the country were provided with electricity in the last three years, State-wise; and

(d) what measures are being taken for accelerating the process in the year 2000-2001?

THE MINISTER OF STATE IN THE MINISTRY OF POWER (SHRIMATI JAYAWANTI MEHTA) : (a) and (b) Out of a total of 587258 inhabited villages as per 1991 census, 506401 villages have been electrified at the end of February, 2000. Many of the villages remaining for electrification are generally in remote and difficult areas. Some of the major reasons for slow progress are attributable mainly to financial constraints, inadequate sub-distribution system facilities, disturbed conditions prevailing in some States, non-repayment of dues of Rural Electrification Corporation resulting in no cash flow, lack of demand from the consumers due to backwardness and poor economic conditions.

(c) and (d) Details villages electrified in the first three years of the 9th Plan are given in the statement (*See* below). Many of the villages remaining for